Position adopted for the post operative patient and its effect on tidal volume
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Abstract
Most techniques used in general anaesthesia interfere with the function of major systems. General anaesthesia is known to cause post-operative atelectasis of the lungs which may lead to increased ventilation perfusion mismatch and complications such as pneumonia. In some instances it is recommended to keep the patient in the semi-recumbent position in the recovery area and the ward until patient is stabilized and in this position respiratory performance, functional residual capacity and clearance of secretions are improved when compared with the supine position, but in clinical practice it is a known fact that the patients may be kept in various positions post operatively. This study was directed to find out the common positions that the patients were nursed in post-operative wards and the impact of such positions on the ventilatory parameters of the patient.

Methodology: A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out amongst all patients who underwent major surgical and gynaecological interventions under general anaesthesia. Randomized sampling was done, and consented 60 patients were studied. Data was collected using an investigator administered questionnaire and spontaneous tidal volume was assessed first in the patients’ nursed position and then once the patients are positioned to semi recumbent position, using a Wright’s respirometer. The average of three consecutive breaths was obtained.

Results: Total sample of 60 patients were studied out of which, 50 patients were found to have kept (83.33%) supine, 8 (13.33%) semi-recumbent and 2 (3.33%) were kept left lateral 3-5 hours post-op irrespective of the surgery. Patients kept in semi-recumbent position had a mean tidal volume of 316.25ml (n=8 SD ± 39.978ml). The mean tidal volume in supine patients was 217.4 ml (n= 50 SD ±50.013ml) and the left lateral group was 255 ml (n=2), whereas after repositioning both groups to the semi-recumbent position, the mean was 283 ml (n=50 SD ± 52.109 ml) in the supine cohort and 315ml (n=2) in the left lateral cohort. The two-tailed P value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria; this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

Conclusion: Most of the patients in the post-operative wards were kept in the supine position irrespective of surgeries. Repositioning of them to the semi-recumbent position gives better ventilatory parameters. The staff should be instructed to position post operative patients in the semi recumbent position.
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Introduction
Most techniques used in general anaesthesia interfere with the normal functioning of major organ systems. General anaesthesia is known to cause post-operative non obstructive atelectasis of the lungs which may lead to increased ventilation perfusion mismatch and complications such as hypoxia and pneumonia. Changes in body position are known to influence respiratory mechanics. The incidence and complications of atelectasis can be minimized with semi-recumbent position. There is evidence that altering the
position of the thorax, for example from upright to side-lying, alters the distribution of ventilation in the lungs of younger subjects\(^2,3\) such that ventilation is preferentially distributed to the gravity-dependent region of the lungs. In the upright position, tidal volume (\(V_T\)) increases, due to lowering of the diaphragm and alveolar expansion due to the lungs' own weight. This position will increase oxygenation and prevent other complications as research shows that increased tidal volume improved oxygenation\(^4\). Studies have shown that during the first hrs of abdominal surgery assumption and maintenance of semi-recumbent position improves the arterial oxygenation in obese patients\(^5\). Thus it is recommended to keep the patient in the semi-recumbent position in the recovery and in the ward until the respiratory performance, functional residual capacity, and clearance of secretions are improved. But in the wards it is not unusual to observe that the patients are kept in various positions. The objective of this study was to find out the positions the patients were nursed in post-operative wards with the reasons for adopting such positions and to find out the impact of such positions on the tidal volume of the patient.

**Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out. The patients were randomly selected by taking every third patient who underwent major surgical and gynaecological interventions under general anaesthesia during the month of September 2012 in Teaching Hospital, Peradeniya. Surgeries under spinal anaesthesia, neck procedures (thyroidectomy), neurological patients and any medically complicated patients with severe hypertension, ischaemic heart diseases were excluded. After informed verbal consent, 60 subjects were studied. Data were collected using an investigator administered questionnaire and spontaneous tidal volume (STV) was assessed using a Wright’s respirometer. The post operative patients were visited after 3-5 hrs post operatively. First the STV was measured in the position they were already being nursed and if no contraindication the patients were placed in the semi recumbent position and the second reading was obtained. The average of three consecutive breaths were taken in each position. Institutional ethical review was obtained. Paired sample statistics was analysed and with the significance level of \(p<0.05\) to compare the changes of the two positions.

**Results**

Total sample of 60 patients were studied. Out of which, 50 patients were found to have kept (83.33%) supine, 8 (13.33%) semi-recumbent (SR) and 2 (3.33%) were kept left lateral 4-5 hours post-operatively irrespective of the surgery. Table 1 shows the mean tidal volume of the respective positions and after changing to the semi recumbent position.

The statistical analyses are presented in Table 1, 2 and 3. The two-tailed \(P\) value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria, this difference is considered to be extremely statistically significant.

### Table 1 Spontaneous tidal volume of patients in each position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean Spontaneous Tidal volume ± SD</th>
<th>After changing to SR position ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>217.4 ml ± 50.013ml</td>
<td>283 ml ± 52.109 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi recumbent(SR)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>316.25 ml ± 39.978ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>255 ml</td>
<td>315 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Paired samples test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>S.E.M</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig (2-tail)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supine - SR</td>
<td>-1.542</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-1.703</td>
<td>-1.382</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Paired samples statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std.Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The distribution of the tidal volume in each position is presented in Figure 1 and 2.

**Figure 1** Distribution of spontaneous tidal volume ml/kg in supine position
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**Figure 2** Distribution of spontaneous tidal volume once changed to (semi recumbent) position
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**Figure 3** Change of spontaneous tidal volume with patient positioning after general anaesthesia
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**Table 4** Reasons for adopted position in post operative period other than semi recumbent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The reasons given by the nursing staff for adapted positions</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No instructions were given in the post-operative note</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional teaching</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thought supine is the best position for post-operative period</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of interest we also included additional information of the reasons for keeping patients in the explicit positions and presented in Table 4. Due to the overlapping of the result statistical analysis was not done.

**Discussion**

The nursing teaching says, when considering semi-conscious patients it is advisable to position in a lateral or semi prone position. But in novel anaesthetic set up the patients are released to the ward, they are deemed fully awake with functioning reflexes. Hence the above position may have no meaning for adoption. To give more evidence to the position need to adapt research that have suggested that the semi-recumbent posture is a valuable therapeutic modality to improve arterial oxygenation after major abdominal surgery. Post-operative patient should be positioned, unless contraindicated due to medical or other reasons, in a semi-recumbent position.

In this study we only considered patients who underwent general anaesthesia. A significant change in the spontaneous tidal volume after repositioning to semi recumbent position was shown when patients were changed from their initial nursed position of left lateral and supine positions. When analyzing tidal volumes, out of those two positions the spontaneous tidal volumes in left lateral position showed much better readings compared to the supine. When analyzing tidal volume ml/kg, in the supine position, it was very alarming to note that most patients were kept with highly inadequate tidal volumes like 3-4ml/kg or 4-5ml/kg (Figure 1). Also it showed that when they were changed to semi recumbent position most were able to ventilate with better tidal volumes (Figure 2).

When analyzing individual patients, it was shown that each patient had a rise in the tidal volume to an acceptable level (Figure 3), which was statistically significant. (Table 2,3). In current hospital setup patients are kept in supine position by removing the pillow soon after arrival to the ward post operatively. On further inquiry from the nursing staff it was revealed that they believe keeping the pillow will flex the neck which can obstruct the airway. To achieve semi recumbent position and to avoid neck flexion a larger pillow can be kept supporting both head and shoulders or ideally adjustable beds can be used for post-operative patients. When considering the
reasons given by nursing staff for the adopted positions (Table 4), achieving above methods of positioning by giving proper training and education will not be a difficult task.

**Conclusion**

Most of the patients in the post-operative wards were kept in the supine position irrespective of surgeries. Repositioning of them to the semi-recumbent position gives better tidal volumes. The staff should be instructed to position patients in a semi-recumbent position; the post-operative notes need to carry instructions regarding patients’ nursing position and facilities should be made available to keep the patients in a semi-recumbent position.
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