In normal people there are considerable inequalities of the ventilation and perfusion in the lung which can be further changed by position. Thus different V/Q mismatches will ultimately have an impact on arterial oxygenation. This study was designed to detect whether the lung functions are changed by adopting the patient’s normal sleeping posture and the arm position during sleep.

The study was carried out as a prospective randomized study. The subjects suffering from asthma, COPD, acute lung infections or any chest deformity were excluded. Spontaneous Tidal Volume (STV), Forced Vital capacity (FVC), Inspiratory and Expiratory reserve volumes were measured in supine position and after adopting their normal sleeping posture with head and arm position.

Mean age, Height and Weight of the sample did not show a significant difference. Paired t test was used to analyze the lung functions in all three positions. With regard to STV and FVC there is a significant difference of p<0.05 between supine position and after adopting the most comfortable sleeping position.

With the evidence of this study, lung functions are improved by adopting an individual’s most comfortable sleeping position with arm position. This may be useful in clinical practice to improve oxygenation while ventilating patients.

In humans ventilation differs in different areas of the lung which can be further changed by body position\(^1\). This is the same with perfusion. Thus different V/Q matches will ultimately have an impact on ultimate arterial oxygenation which can also be explained by physiological zones. Various observers have noted postural changes in certain lung volumes, such as vital capacity, expiratory reserve and functional residual capacity.\(^2\) Most of these studies have been carried out comparing lung functions in supine and sitting position.

An individual may adopt their most comfortable sleeping position with head and arm position in relation to the best locations of the most well ventilated areas of the lung, which may be contributing greatly to better oxygenation.

Our study aims to compare the lung functions in supine and normal comfortable sleeping position with head and arm positions.

Most popular sleeping positions:

Figure 1: Sleeping Positions
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This study was designed to detect whether the lung functions are changed by adopting the patient’s normal sleeping posture including the arm position during sleep.

Materials and Methods
The study was carried out as a prospective randomized study at the respiratory laboratory in the faculty of Medicine, Peradeniya. Ethics committee approval was obtained. 30 consented male medical students aged between 20 to 26 yrs who are non smokers were selected for the study on random basis (Every 3rd person entering the canteen during the time period of 10.00 am to 12.00 noon). The subjects suffering from asthma, COPD, acute lung infections, respiratory infections in the recent past, upper airway diseases or any chest deformity were excluded. Details with regard to age, gender, height, weight and their normal sleeping position with head and arm positions were documented by the investigator.

Spontaneous Tidal Volume (STV) and Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) were measured in the subjects using the electronic Spirometer in the two different postures; supine and after adopting their normal sleeping position with head and arm position. Each subject was kept in supine position for 5 minutes before recording their lung functions. At the end of the lung function assessment in supine position the subjects were asked to adopt their normal comfortable sleeping position for another 5 minutes at the end of which the same parameters were assessed. The data were analyzed by paired t test using SPSS statistical software (13th version).

Results
Mean age, Height, Weight and Body Mass Index (BMI) of sample did not show a significant difference and were 22 y, 56.74 kg, 168.07 cm and 21.57 Kg m\(^2\) respectively. (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.74 ± 9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>168.07 ± 5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg m(^2))</td>
<td>21.57 ± 2.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different sleeping positions observed in the subjects were supine with arms straight and flexed with internal rotation at elbows, prone with head turned laterally and arms flexed at elbows, right lateral and left lateral with arms straight and flexed with internal rotation at elbows and right lateral and left lateral recumbent. (Figure 1)

The mean STV was 0.5997 L in supine position where as in normal comfortable sleeping position and arm position it was increased to 0.7437 L (Table 2). There was a significant difference between the two positions with respect to STV at a significant level (alpha) of 0.000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Spontaneous Tidal Volumes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supine position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable sleeping position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FVC was increased from 3.621 L to 3.974 L when the subjects’ position was changed from supine to normal comfortable sleeping position with arm position (Table 3). A significant difference of p<0.05 was evident between supine position and after adopting the most comfortable sleeping position in the same subject.
Table 3: Mean Forced Vital Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Capacity (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supine position</td>
<td>3.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable sleeping</td>
<td>3.974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our study results indicated that, adopting an individual’s normal comfortable sleeping position with head and arm position there was an improvement in their lung volumes when compared to supine posture.

Discussion

Observers have noted postural changes in lung volumes such as, Vital Capacity (VC), Expiratory Reserve Volume (ERV) and Functional Residual Capacity (FRC). But most of these studies have been carried out in supine and sitting position.

Literature shows that a change in posture from sitting to horizontal position causes a decrease in effort dependent inspiratory and expiratory flow rates. This may be due to the fact that in normal awake subjects oro-pharyngeal passage of airways has a smaller diameter in lying than in sitting posture. Thus the change in effort dependent maximum inspiratory and expiratory flow rates could be due to the increase in the resistance of upper airways on assuming horizontal postures. No change of airway resistance was shown to occur in supine as compared to lateral lying position. Oxygen saturation and FRC were found to be significantly higher in the prone position. This increase in FRC in the prone position can be explained by the alteration in diaphragmatic mechanics (Lap Hace Law), improved drainage of secretions and reduction of intra pulmonary shunting. A number of investigators have examined pulmonary mechanics in the prone and supine positions. However these studies have largely been carried out in spontaneously breathing neonates with mild lung disease.

Conclusion:

With the evidence of this study, it shows that lung functions are improved by adopting an individual’s most comfortable sleeping position with arm position. This can be used in clinical practice to improve oxygenation while ventilating patients.
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